Case :	1:15-cr-00095-WFK	51 Filed 02/10/20 I	Page 2 of 26 PageID #: 3493
		PROCEEDINGS	2
1			
2		LORD & SCHEWEL 233 Broadway -	
3		New York, New Y BY: ABRAHAM RU	York 10279 JBERT-SCHEWEL, ESQ.
4			
5	Also Present:	SANJAR BABADJAN	NOV, INTERPRETER
6	Court Reporter:	LINDA D. DANELO Phone: 718-613	CZYK, RPR, CSR, CCR
7			an226@gmail.com
8			
9	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.		
10			
11	* * * *		
12			
13	(In open court.)		
14	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.		
15	The Honorable William F. Kuntz, II is now presiding.		
16	Criminal cause	e for status cons	ference, Docket Number
17	15-CR-95, U.S.A. versus Kasimov.		
18	Counsel, please state your appearances for the		
19	record. Spell your firs	at and your last	name for the court
20	reporter, and the previous	ously sworn Uzbeł	k interpreter.
21	MR. PRAVDA: 0	Good afternoon, N	Your Honor. Doug
22	Pravda and Matthew Haggans for the United States. That's		
23	D-O-U-G, P-R-A-V-D-A, Pravda and Matthew Haggans,		
24	M-A-T-T-H-E-W, H-A-G-G-A-N-S.		
25	THE COURT: Go	ood afternoon, co	ounsel. You may be

Case ?	1:15-cr-00095-WFK Document 451 Filed 02/10/20 Page 3 of 26 PageID #: 3494			
	PROCEEDINGS 3			
1	seated.			
2	MS. MACEDONIO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.			
3	Elizabeth Macedonio for Mr. Kasimov. E-L-I-Z-A-B-E-T-H,			
4	M-A-C-D-E-O-N-I-O.			
5	MS. SHARKEY: Good afternoon, Judge. Kelley			
6	Sharkey, K-E-L-L-E-Y, S-H-A-R-K-E-Y, for Mr. Kasimov.			
7	THE COURT: Good afternoon.			
8	And, counsel, with you is mister?			
9	THE INTERPRETER: Sanjar Babadjanov. S-A-N-J-A-R,			
10	B-A-B-A-D-J-A-N-O-V.			
11	THE COURT: Thank you.			
12	You're the Uzbek interpreter previously sworn?			
13	THE INTERPRETER: Yes.			
14	THE COURT: You may be seated.			
15	And also with you at counsel table is?			
16	MR. RUBERT-SCHEWEL: Abraham Rubert-Schewel,			
17	A-B-R-A-H-A-M, R-U-B-E-R-T, hyphen, S-C-H-E-W-E-L. Good			
18	afternoon.			
19	THE COURT: Good afternoon.			
20	And also with you at counsel table is?			
21	MS. MACEDONIO: Mr. Kasimov.			
22	THE COURT: We can't have him without the pranks.			
23	All right, this is a status conference that was			
24	requested by the parties.			
25	I'll hear from the government first as to why we're			

MS. MACEDONIO: And, Your Honor, I think I may have misspoken that there was one previous written plea agreement,

24

excellent counsel, and I'm sure they're advising him fully and

was conveyed that the defendant had rejected that offer.

MR. PRAVDA: Your Honor, before Mr. Kasimov begins, should the Court advise him that anything he says could be used against him at the trial?

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'm sure your counsel has advised you, sir, but let me advise you on the record, as the prosecution

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1.3

THE COURT: So what I'm prepared to do, if you would like, is to add counsel to the team as we go to trial on Monday, but certainly you have two of the best lawyers in the known universe at your side with three-and-a-half years in the case, and the government's ready to try the case.

And it seems to me that if you want me to appoint additional CJA counsel, I will do that, but I'm not going to delay the trial because that might smack of inappropriate gamesmanship with the Court, and this Court won't have that.

So I'll give you another lawyer. Maybe two. But you've got two of the best lawyers in this country who worked on this case with you for five years, give or take, four and a half, whatever it's been, and to make this application, virtually on the eve of trial, I think is something that can be addressed by appointing other terrific lawyers, who are CJA lawyers, who will be present at counsel table, but I don't see any reason to adjourn the trial.

They're experienced lawyers. You've been with them three-and-a-half years. This is the first inkling of any issue. You've had plea negotiations, which this Court has not been party to, obviously, back and forth, and it's just not appropriate to engage in, unfortunately, what might be deemed as gamesmanship.

That being said, I will definitely appoint other

1.3

PROCEEDINGS

counsel to your team. And you will have not only two of the best lawyers in the country, you'll have one or two more great lawyers added to the team. But we're going to trial on Monday at 9:30. We're not going to adjourn the trial.

I'll give you another lawyer. I'll give you another two lawyers. I'll give you another three lawyers. They'll be really good. They'll be really experienced. They won't be as good as the two lawyers you got but, hey, you know.

Look, I practiced law for 33 years. I was often

hired and often fired. I get it. I was a pretty good lawyer.

And sometimes the people who fired me later said, you know,

I'm glad we fired you because we did better without you.

Sometimes they said, you know what, maybe that wasn't our best decision. And I've been in cases where other lawyers have been added to work with me.

So I've been there. Practiced law for 33 years before I was on the bench. So I get it.

But the truth is, they're great lawyers. They've worked with you for three-and-a-half years. They know your case. They know these prosecutors. They have tried cases against these prosecutors. Sometimes they win. Sometimes they lose. But they're very good. You've got the A team on both sides.

And the A team on both sides, perhaps augmented with one, two, or three more lawyers on your team will be trying

12

1 this case, in this courtroom on Monday morning at 9:30. 2 So if you want me to add lawyers, I will add them. 3 I will add -- Mr. Jackson will enter the order and they'll be 4 over -- it's 20 minutes to 3, they'll be over as soon as they 5 can get over; work with your distinguished counsel for 6 four-and-a-half years, and be here tomorrow morning for the --7 Thursday morning for the status conference, and they'll meet 8 with you Thursday, Friday, Saturday, Sunday. 9 It's going to be a great, great trial because you 10 have great lawyers on both sides. And we have very wonderful 11 law clerks, a first rate courtroom deputy, and we're ready to 12 go, and so will you be. 1.3 So your application to add lawyers is granted, and 14 we will add them. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, sorry. 16 THE COURT: Okay, no problem. 17 THE DEFENDANT: But the reason why I'm asking is 18 with them we are not really ready for trial and --19 THE COURT: Oh, I bet you are. 20 THE DEFENDANT: One of the reasons is I would have 21 problems with investigator and so we didn't really work with 22 investigator, and I think Mr. Investigator did correct 23 investigation about this. 24 I informed my attorney, Ms. Macedonio, last year, 25 and I have a copy of the email, if you want me to show you,

1.3

PROCEEDINGS

and she agreed with me that Mr. Ron Dwyer, the investigator wasn't doing his job well, so she told me she's going to have another investigator, but we never got the investigator.

And now I don't know how we going to trial without witnesses to defend me, to bring the witnesses, and we don't even have any evidence in our hand to represent to the family. So that's why I'm asking, if possible, to give me another lawyers and give me a little more time to prepare the case.

THE COURT: I find it hard to believe that

Ms. Macedonio and Ms. Sharkey, two of the most experienced and successful lawyers in this court, have failed to prepare this case for trial and are not in a position to defend the case for trial.

It was one thing for you as a client to say you would like to have additional lawyers added to the team, it's another to say that these two distinguished counsel have not done their jobs in getting the case ready for trial.

Quite candidly, that does not strike the Court as a credible assertion, knowing what I know about these lawyers and having seen the effort that they've put into this case. They just don't roll that way, to put it colloquially. When they say they are ready to go to trial, they are ready to go to trial.

Now, you may say want another lawyer added to the team, or two lawyers added to the team, that's one thing, but

1.3

PROCEEDINGS

to say that Ms. Macedonio and Ms. Sharkey are not ready to try a case strikes me as the equivalent of saying, to use an analogy from fictional lawyers, that Perry Mason doesn't know how to try a criminal defense case. You may not know who Perry Mason is, but trust me, he knew how to try a criminal defense case. So did Matlock. It's just not credible.

So if they were to tell me that they are not ready to defend you, that would surprise me. And if they say that, then I'll listen and perhaps have a different response.

But knowing them as I do as officers of the court, I don't think they are going to say that they're not ready to try the case when they've indicated that they are ready to try the case and they have the stellar representation so richly deserved.

So I'll give you another lawyer or two at public expense. That's no problem. And that lawyer will be here tomorrow morning and will work with you, and work with your distinguished counsel.

But it's just not credible to say that they're not ready to try the case, unless they tell me they're not ready to try the case, and I don't hear them saying that.

THE DEFENDANT: You know, basically I was thinking the same way, that's why I asked a few weeks ago from Ms. Sharkey. Basically I met already with one lawyer in MDC. She came to visit me.

1.3

PROCEEDINGS

And my family was -- you know the mentality and culture we have, I'm from different country, and my family is stressing about me, and they believe because the lawyers are not paid from my family and the government give, they believe -- this is like culture and mentality, and they believe they don't care to do their best to defend me, so my family was trying to find for me another lawyer.

So because of that, I already had meeting with one, another lawyer, and I talked about that to Ms. Sharkey, and if wrong to Ms. Macedonio or so, and I ask them if -- because when this lawyer came to me, she checked the case and she said trial is coming soon so she can't just take the case over and go by herself.

But she also suggested if it was possible to put her in the case, she will help me and to -- to my lawyers and -- but when I discuss this topic also with Ms. Sharkey, the response was, I don't know if she ever asked from you, but she said judge is not going to put another lawyer, you already have three lawyers.

THE COURT: I will add -- to cut to the chase, without getting into whatever attorney/client communications you had, if there's another lawyer who wishes to note his or her appearance in this case, and they're admitted to the bar and this court and in good standing, or they can be admitted on a pro hac vice basis, sure, they can join the team. But --

no, but you're not hearing me.

1.3

The culture that you find yourself in is the culture of the United States of America in a criminal trial where you are a defendant. You've been a defendant for many years, and where you've been defended by, among others, two of the best lawyers in this country. So you are going to trial on Monday of next week, if you do not come to another resolution of this case.

I will add whatever lawyers were admitted to this court who come before the Court and file a notice of appearance; whether or not that lawyer is good, bad, or indifferent, time will tell. But you've got two great lawyers sitting beside you who have worked with you for years, and we are on the eve of trial, and I'm not going to listen sympathetically to fairytales about conversations with unidentified lawyers who you think in some cultural way are more appropriate.

You may like them more. They may be as good as Macedonio and Sharkey. I doubt that they are. I doubt that they're better, but maybe they are.

And if they want to come here and appear, have them file a notice of appearance and come to the conference tomorrow and come before the Court, subject to the responsibilities of attorneys in this court.

But we don't play games here. You're going to trial

PROCEEDINGS

on Monday morning. And if you want to have this other lawyer or lawyers join the team, if they're going to be privately retained, fine. If they need CJA funds, and they're on the CJA list, I will appoint them. That is fine. Criminal Justice Act, that's what it stands for. But we don't play games here. I'm not going to tolerate it. I'm not.

So you decide how you wish to proceed. You have two of the best lawyers in this country. And so if you want to add to your team, great. Privately retained lawyer, admitted in this court, he or she or they should file a notice of

in this court, he or she or they should file a notice of appearance and be here tomorrow 10 a.m. for the conference, great. CJA lawyer, if you can't afford one, you want one appointed, or two appointed, I'll add to your team. I'll do that.

But let's be very clear. Trial starts Monday morning at 9:30, unless there is some other resolution prior to that time; in three-and-a-half years, eve of trial, I'm not doing that.

You don't jerk this judge around. Nobody does. So that's my ruling.

Anything else?

MS. MACEDONIO: Not from the defense. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else from the government?

MR. PRAVDA: Not from the government, Your Honor.

Case 1:15-cr-00095-WFK Document 451 Filed 02/10/20 Page 19 of 26 PageID #: 3510

22

1 either up here or downstairs in the marshal's pens, and then 2 retrieve that 3500 material so that he doesn't lose the whole 3 day in not being able to review the 3500 material. 4 THE COURT: What is the government's response to the 5 request to defense counsel? 6 MR. PRAVDA: Your Honor, the government has no 7 objection. 8 I believe the protective order that the Court signed specifically permits the defendant to review in this 9 10 courthouse. And we trust defense counsel to collect all of 11 the materials that are given to him before he is returned to 12 MDC. 1.3 THE COURT: Do you have a view to use the PBS 14 upstairs, downstairs, does it matter to you, whether he 15 reviews them on this level of the courthouse or downstairs? 16 Does it matter? 17 MR. PRAVDA: No opinion, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Well, it's up to you, 19 Ms. Macedonio, and, Ms. Sharkey, as to where that review is 20 conducted, whatever you and your client find most efficient, 21 you're welcome to conduct the review here. 22 Other than this conference tomorrow, Mr. Jackson, do 23 we anything else scheduled in this space? 24 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No, Judge. 25 THE COURT: So to use the well-known phrase, mi casa

su casa, if you want to sit here and do it tomorrow, you can do it right here in this space. If you want do to it downstairs, you can do it in that space. If you want to split, you can do it.

It's subject to, obviously, what the marshals require in terms of your security needs, but you're welcome to use this space. You'll have it properly secured.

MS. SHARKEY: Thank you.

THE COURT: You're welcome.

What else can I help you folks with this afternoon?

MS. MACEDONIO: Your Honor, I understand that the government has dropped off a hard drive at the MDC of the phone dump of Mr. Kasimov's phone.

We would request some assistance with the Court in Mr. Kasimov being able to view the contents of that hard drive on the unit. There are separation orders in place that would prohibit him from going to the law library. And also with the trial date rapidly approaching, we would ask if the Court assist with us with the MDC in allowing that hard drive to go to Mr. Kasimov's unit so that he could review the contents of the hard drive.

THE COURT: A man's got to know his limits, so I'm afraid telling the MDC what to do is, unfortunately, way beyond my limits. I can always ask, but then again I continue to ask for my 40 acres and a mule, and you can see how far

consulting with my colleague.

MR. HAGGANS: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I was

24